(d) The Government of India is implementing various schemes through the Coconut Development Board for increasing area/production under the crop. These include:

(i) *Expansion of area under coconut:* Under this projects, a sum of Rs. 3000 per hectare is being provided to coconut growers whose holding is less than 2 hectares.

(ii) Project for providing assistance for irigation facilities to coconut growers for subsidised distribution of pumpsets, development of irrigation sources in coconut gradens etc.

(iii) Production of quality coconut seedlings.

(iv) Project for coconut plantation on canal embankment in Orissa.

Besides, the Coconut Development Board is also implementing schemes on Package Programme on coconut, Production and Distribution of TxD hybrid seedlings and Establishment of hybrid seed gardens for DxT hybrids.

Statement

Area and Production of Coconut in Southern States

State	Annual Average Area (lakh hectares)			Annual Average Production (100 million nuts)		
	Fourth Plan	Fifth Plan	Sixth Plan	Fourth Plan	Fifth Plan	Sixth Plan
Andhra Pradesh . .	0.38	0.40	0.45	1.63	1.66	1.85
Karnataka . . .	1.34	1.54	1.84	6.74	7.91	9.57
Kerala . . .	7.29	6.94	6.75	39.23	33.59	30.56
Tamil Nadu . . .	1.03	1.10	1.31	9.33	10.89	12.98

Revocation of suspension order against NCCF officers

1233. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA: Will the Minister of FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES be pleased to refer to the reply to Starred Question 183 and 465 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 6th May, 1986 and 5th December, 1986 respectively and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that NCCF is contemplating to revoke suspension of some officers involved in various scandals of NCCF in contradiction of what the Minister stated on the floor of the House on 6th May, 1986; and

(b) if so, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRI H. K. L. BHAGAT): (a) and (b) According to information furnished by NCCF it has not decided to revoke, for the present the suspension orders of any officer.

Foreign Liabilities of NAFED

1234. SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news item in which appeared in 'Navbharat Times' of 8th July, 1986, 9th July, 1986 and 10th July, 86 and in the Hindustan Times' of 27 January, 87 and 6th February, 87 regarding Foreign liabilities of NAFED;

(b) if so, what are the comments of Government on foreign liabilities of Rs. 21.01 crores of NAFED; and

(c) what action Government have taken or propose to take against the defaulting officials|non-officials of NAFED for causing havy losses to the Federation?

THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI G. S. DHILLON): (a) and (b) As on 30-6-1986, the foreign liabilities claimed against NAFED are of the value of Rs. 19.21 crores. As the said liability has not been acknowledged by NAFED, it is shown as contingent liability in its accounts. In addition, the verdict of awards in respect of seven foreign parties involving approximately a sum of Rs. 1.79 crores has gone against NAFED. These have not so far come to the Indian Courts for execution.

(c) The profit and loss position of NAFED alongwith the Auditors observations are shown in the Audit Report. The Audit Report for 1985-86 has been submitted by the Auditors. The Compliance Report on the Auditors' observations, in the first instance, will be considered in its General Body Meeting on 12.3.1987. No specific charges have been made against any particular individual in the Audit Report. The management of NAFED is, however, competent to deal with such issues.

DDA Plots Lying Vacant

1235. SHRI K. GOPALAN:
SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of residential and industrial plots which were lying vacant were cancelled by D.D.A. yearwise and category-wise during the last three years;

(b) how many plots are presently lying vacant and how many are lying vacant for more than five years together with the number of plots lying vacant for more than ten years categorywise;

(c) whether DDA propose the policy decision to cancel all plots which are presently lying vacant for more than three years, if not, what are the reasons thereof; and

(d) what steps are likely to be taken to ensure that plot owners immediately make use the plots allotted to them in case these are not be cancelled?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a)

Category	Cancelled during		
	1984	1985	1986
Residential plot	7	1	2
Industrial plot	No lease has been cancelled		

(b) No such survey has been conducted.

(c) and (d) No policy decision is contemplated for cancellation of plots lying vacant for more than 3 years. However, decision has been taken to cancel lease of plots lying vacant beyond 10 years.

As per terms and conditions of lease the lessee is required to complete construction over the plot within 2 years from the date of possession. However, in genuine cases further extension is allowed on payment of non-construction charges as per policy. Where no convincing ground is given by the lessee, action to determine|cancel the lease is taken as per terms and conditions of the lease.

Civic Amenities in Jhuggies and Slums etc.

1236. SHRI K. GOPALAN:
SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total population of Delhi at present;

(b) the number out of these who are living in Jhuggis, slums, resettlement colonies, unauthorised colonies and other clustered areas;

(c) the number of them who have been provided with potable water facilities;